

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,348	04/26/2001	Martin Essing	5029-39	8539	
75	590 09/30/2003				
Thomas C. Pontani, Esq.			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Suite 1210	, Lieberman & Pavane		CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J		
551 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727	14	
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 - 4 - 45 0	09/843,348	ESSING, MARTIN	<b>!</b>			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-7</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom obnolativation.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
Application Papers	olocilott roquitotticiti.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<b>r.</b>					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.	•			
Attachment(s)	- p	- united with 1 feets				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/843,348

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Devall et al. (Devall) in view of Danowski.

Devall discloses a venting device provided on a fuel tank that has an equalizing opening (bottom opening in housing 80), the device comprises an anti-surge element (64, 66) arranged in front of the equalizing opening, the element comprises a fixed component with individual channels (144, 146, 164) with a diameter smaller than the diameter of the equalizing opening. Devall discloses the invention except for the length of the individual channels being larger than the diameter of each of the plural individual channels and the anti-surge element is not a sintered part. Devall discloses the length of the channels being substantially equal to diameter of the channels. It would have been obvious by design choice to lengthen the channels, that is, make the length of each of the channels larger than the diameter of every channel in order to reduce gas flow while maintaining the devices ability to prevent the introduction of splashed or sloshed fuel into the equalizing opening. Danowski teaches three sintered plastic discs (16, 18, 20). It would have been obvious to modify the anti-surge element to be a sintered part in order to quickly and easily manufacture a plastic porous filter by a sintering method to save time and manufacturing costs.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,348

Art Unit: 3727

If should be deemed that one having ordinary skill in the art would not have known to lengthen the channels, then the following rejection is applied.

Claims 1 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Devall et al. (Devall) in view of Danowski, Austrian reference No. ('803) to Zieger, French reference No. ('609) and WIPO reference No. ('949) to Nemser.

The combination of Devall and Danowski discloses the invention except for the length of the individual channels being larger than the diameter of each of the plural individual channels. Zieger teaches a porous material (10, 17 and 40). The French reference teaches a porous filling (23). Nemser teaches hollow fiber membranes in components (7, 14 and 16). It would have been obvious to modify the passages in Devall to be elongated such that the length is greater than the diameter motivated by the need to maintain gas flow and the need to hinder or restrict liquid flow.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/843,348 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

final action.

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)872-9302. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is (703)-308-1035.

Stephen Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727